

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/677,233	LIN, CHUAN-HUNG
	Examiner Boris L. Chervinsky	Art Unit 2835

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Boris L. Chervinsky.

(3) _____.

(2) Richard E. Fichter, Reg. No. 26,382.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5-17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

claims are amended to avoid ambiguity and prior art rejection, changes are reflected in examiner's amendment

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)